GOVERNMENT COLLEGE SILPHILI DISTRICT - SURAJPUR CHHATTISGARH STUDENT FEEDBACK ANALYSIS

SESSION 2023-24

The students are the most important stackholders of Higher Education systems. The Interest and participation of students at all levels in both internal quality assurance and external quality assurance have to play a central role. Two five point scale feedback form first on course evaluation and second on program and teaching evaluation are filled by students. We have recieved across 26.78% students feedback at random sampling.


RESPONSE	Depth of the course content including project work if any	Extent of Coverage of course	Applicability/relevance to real lite situations	Learning valuev (in terms of knowledge, concept, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material	Relevance of additional source material(Library)	Extent of effort required by students	Overall rating
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Best	74	52	54	54	59	53	58	58
Better	29	52	38	38	45	46		
Good	12	10	11	12	11	12	45	29
Satisfactory	5	6	17	15			7	17
Non-Satisfactory	0	0		15	5	. 9	10	16
Satisjactory	U	U	0	1	0	0	0	0

Reponse (in percent)

				oned (in percent	٠,									
Response		Question No.												
поэропас	1	2	3	4	5	6	7							
Best	61.67	43.33	45.00	45.00	49.17	44.17	48.33	12.22						
Better	24.17	43.33	31.67	31.67	37.50			48.33						
Good	10.00					38.33	37.50	24.17						
	10.00	8.33	9.17	10.00	9.17	10.00	5.83	14.17						
Satisfactory	4.17	5.00	14.17	12.50	4.17	7.50	8.33	_						
Non-Satisfactory	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02				13.33						
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.83	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00						

Co.Ordinator IQAC Govt. College Silphili Distt.-Surajpur (C.G.) Principal
Govt.-College Stiphili
Distt.-Surgeour (C.G.)

GRAPHICAL REPRESENTATION OF COURSE EVALUATION BY STUDENTS




GRAPHICAL REPRESENTATION OF COURSE EVALUATION BY STUDENTS

RESPONSE	OVERALL RESPONSE	OVERALL RESPONSE IN %
Best	462	48.13
Better	322	33.54
Good	92	9.58
Satisfactory	83	8.65
Non-Satisfactory	1	0.10
Total	960	100

On an averge 48.13% students are evaluate program and teaching in best category, 33.54% students are evaluate program and teaching in better category, 9.58 % students are evaluate program & teaching in good category, 8.65% students are evaluate program & teaching in satisfactory category, 0.10% students are evaluate program & teaching in not satisfactory category.





Sylncipal .

Half Bristian (C.

PROGRAM OF TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS RESPONSE (In number)

							•										
								QUESTIONS	5								
RESPONSE	The syllabus of each course was	Background for benefitting the course was	Was the course easy or difficult to understand?	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class	What is your openion about the library material and facilities for the course?	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	lf Yes, which of the following methods were used	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	The teacher's approach can best be described as	Internal assesment was	What will be the impact of internal assesment on your result?	How frequently you get response from the teachers on your work	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment	Whether the introductory lecture has been given in th beginning of the course?
Best	64	52	66	52	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
Better	37	41	24	37	50	44	25	44	29	60	25	23	68	56	50	56	25
Good	14	9	14	3/	40	40	63	45	64	37	63	59	27	34	48	42	56
Satisfactory	4	18	16	24	12	18	29	22	22	14	29	32	9	18	10	14	25
Non-Satisfactory	1	0	0	0	18 0	18	3	9	5	9	3	6	16	12	12	8	14
,			3	0	<u> </u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Response (in percent)

_							(QUESTION N	0.								
RESPONSE	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	- 12	42				
Best	F2 22	42.22								10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
DESI	53.33	43.33	55.00	43.33	41.67	36.67	20.83	36.67	24.17	50.00	20.83	19.17	56.67	46.67	44.67		
Better	30.83	34.17	20.00	20.02	22.22					30.00	20.03	15.17	30.07	46.67	41.67	46.67	20.83
	30.63	34.17	20.00	30.83	33.33	33.33	52.50	37.50	53.33	30.83	52.50	49.17	22.50	28.33	40.00	35.00	
Good	11.67	7.50	11.67	5.83	10.00	15.00	24.17	10.22	40.00				22.50	40.33	40.00	35.00	46.67
Satisfactory	2.22					13.00	24.17	18.33	18.33	11.67	24.17	26.67	7.50	15.00	8.33	11.67	20.83
Julisjuctory	3.33	15.00	13.33	20.00	15.00	15.00	2.50	7.50	4.17	7.50	3.50	F 00					20.03
Non-Satisfactory	0.00							7.50	7.17	7.30	2.50	5.00	13.33	10.00	10.00	6.67	11.67
ton-sucisfactory	0.83	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
									0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00


Co. Ordinator IQAC Govt. College Silphili Distt.-Surajpur (C.G.)

Principal (

Gov**t.-College Sti**phil Distt.-Surapur (C.G.

GRAPHICAL PRESENTATION OF PROGRAM & TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS






OVERALL PROGRAM & TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS

RESPONSE	OVERALL RESPONSE	OVERALL RESPONSE (in %)
Best	789	38.68
Better	757	37.11
Good	298	14.61
Satisfactory	195	9.56
Non-Satisfactory	1	0.05
TOTAL	2040	100.00

OVERALL PROGRAM & TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS



On an averge 38.68% students are evaluate program and teaching in best category, 37.11% students are evaluate program and teaching in better category, 14.61% students are 9.56% students are evaluate program & teaching in satisfactory category, evaluate program & teaching in good category, 0.05% students are evaluate program & teaching in not satisfactory category.

Co.Ordinator IQAC Co.Ordinator IQAC Govt. College Silphili Distt.-Surajpur (C.G.)

Principal
Govt.-College Sliphi
Distr-Susapur (C.G.